Appendix A

		S DISTRICT COURT STRICT OF TEXAS				
	Howston	DIVISION				
Kenry versus	James of Deer	<pre>\$ \$ \$ CIVIL ACTION NO \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$</pre>				
EMPLOYMENT DISCRIMINATION COMPLAINT						
1.	This action is brought under Title V	/II of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 for employment				
discrimination. Jurisdiction is conferred by Title 42 United States Code, Section § 2000e-5.						
2.	The Plaintiff is:	Kenry James				
	Address:	14202 SpringKnollla.				
		Rosharon, TR 77583				
	County of Residence:	Brazoria				
3.	The defendant is:	City of Deer Park				
	Address:	710 Son Augustine				
		Deer Park, 14 72536				
	Check here if there are additional defendants. List them on a separate sheet of paper with					
	their complete addresses.					
4.	The plaintiff has attached to this complaint a copy of the charges filed on					
with the Equal Opportunity Commission.						
5.	On the date of $\frac{G/22/15}{}$	, the plaintiff received a Notice of Right to Sue				

letter issued by the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission; a copy is attached.

6.	Because of the plaintiff's:		
	(a)		race
	(b)		color
	(c)		sex
	(d)		religion
	(f) the de	□ 7 fendant	national orgin, Fanily Medical Leave Act has:
	(a)		failed to employ the plaintiff
	(b)		terminated the plaintiff's employment
	(c)		failed to promote the plaintiff
	(d)		other:
_			
7.			w the defendant has discriminated against the plaintiff:
	AW	Illfu	MI Violation occurred when Cityot Deer howed reckless disregard whether its
	Par	k 5/	howed reckless disregard whether its
8.	CAS The p	ndnes EAC laintiff 1	to employ the plaintiff  Was prohibited."14 CoA. 2085 32. In THES  TON MAY BE BROUGHT WITHIN LAST THREE years Of  requests that the defendant be ordered: Violation under 29 U.S. Cla)(4)  to stop discriminating against the plaintiff  9-4-13, TELMINATION  of Employment.
	(a)		to stop discriminating against the plaintiff G-4-13 Teach one
	(b)		to employ the plaintiff Of Employment.
	(c)		to re-employ the plaintiff
	(d)		to promote the plaintiff

## 

(e)	to	
		and that;
(f)	the Court gra	ant other relief, including injunctions, damages, costs and
	attorney's fe	es.
		Venry James (Signature of Plaintiff)
	Address:	142025 pring kas 1141. Roshanon, +2 77583
	Telephone:	281-972-9833

Appendix B

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
DIVISION

Kenry James	§ §	
versus	§	CIVIL ACTION NO
City of Deer Park	§ § §	
	\$ §	

## ORIGINAL COMPLAINT

Complainant Kerry James was wrongfully deried FMLA ON 8-19-13. Complainant submitted a request for FMLA ON 8/13/13. BILL PHILIBERT, FORCA ADMINISTRATOR demal letter indicated FMLA 12 weeks benefits was depleted due to City's decision to run FMCA Concurrent with Workman Comp claim. Bill Philibert failed to provide Complainant notice Within 5 business days When City acquired notice that an employee leave may be for Force qualifying reason and derial/efter failed to provide a designated fruit time period as required Bill Philipert provided Complainant Force designated time period On letter 6-25-14 or I year and 5 months from alleged Frace assigned time period. Complainant received Frace designated time period (10/29/12-1/25/13) after termination. Donna todd, Finance manager, enail to Jay Stokes, City Monager, dated 8/9/13 indicated a dicision to run Inla Concurrently with workman comp was not decided. Therefore When complainant submitted a request to use FralA an 8-13-13 Bill Philibert did not decide to run fonce concurrent

With Wordsender-cv-00646, poordings, in Filed in TXSD on 02/27/18 Page 5 of 7 (10/29/12-1/25/13, Other evidence supports Forca time period was not designated nor was finite depleted. prior to complainant request to use fines. City of Deer park in-code system records or City's official attendance records for employees do not indicate fruit Was used during the time period (10/29/12-1/20/13). In FACT, NO FINCH usage was recorded on official records nor any other type of City records. In additions Donna todd, Finance manager, created a spreadsheet and subnitted it to EEOC in order to represent Forces TIME/LEAVE AS OPPOSED TO USING CITY'S OFFICIAL IN-CODE System record. As opposed to using City's official records due to fact todd knew the official records would prove EMIA TIME/LEAVE WAS NOT RECORDED OR USED DUTING ANEGED FING HOME PERZOD. According to City's OFFECTAL RECORDS AND COMPLENANT CHECK STUDS Which does not indicate sick, vacation, compor any other type of leave was used for Forla benefits. The complanant Chicks indicate the City paid complanant 30% workman compand 70% of City regular pay, Not Forlaberefits or sick, vaction, Comp or any other type of leave. THE complaint RECEDIES full 40 Hours A WEEK PAY DURING ALLE GED FINCA TEME PERZOD. ENZOENCE PROVE PHOLOBERT, TODD, JEFFREY JOHNSON, JAY STOKES DENZED COMPLAMENT FINLA REQUEST BASED ON FALSE AMEGIATION THAT FINLA WAS EXHAUSTED WHICH LEAD. To complainent termination, City's termination letter dated 9-4-13 indicated no light duty assignment was available to next restrictions as outlined by complainent doctors. Complainant needed FMLAbenetit to receive treatment as

DOCTON STEP 4:18-04-09646 CROCKHONEDICA /FILEDIMOTEXSTERN/02/07/18 Pape 6:967 on the INLA REQUEST dated 8/13/13. THE FINIA PRODUEST Was REDUKSTED TO treat the medical condition or back Injury for City reported claim of no light duty assignment for back injury restrictions. City would have been prohibited from terminating complainant while on Force leave, complainent requested force benefit prior to termination and was eligible under FMLA Rule. City's denial of Finish benefit lead to James termination Which established a claim of withful ares under FracA Statues. Court must order City to provide strict proof Complainant was notified 5 business days from date City knew complainant leave was eligible for Fract Leave and City must provide proof that complainant was notified within 5 business days from date FMCA time period was designated. Court must order lity to provide strict proof complainent for 14 tome/LEAVE WAS EXHAUSTED ON CETY'S OFFECIAL RECORDS DURZNG THE TEME PIERZOD 10/29/12-1/25/13. Court must order City to provide strict proof FmLA time period was designated on 10/29/13-1/25/13 prior to the City's FINCA designation time period recorded on letter dated 6-25-14 which was I year and 5 months after complainant was terminated, MS. TODD email dated &/9/13 indicated she needed to HASK" BILL PHILIBERT ABOUT FINLA AND WORKES CAMP running concurrently Which meant FMLA AND Workman Comp was not run concurrently as of 8/9/13 or 7 months later from the alleged Frack time period. City has a partien of practice to allow employees to use legue such as

Sick, Valence 4:18-cv-00646 Document 1 Filed in TXSD on 02/27/18 Page, 7 of 7

FMLA while employee is receiving workman comp. City
records indicate its pattern of practice was administered
during Complainant workman comp time period or
City did not use font A leave concurrent with fonth
workman comp. City paid complainent regular pay
for 40 hours or 30% workman comp and 70% o City
regular pay weekly.